Name and date of meeting: Corporate Governance and Audit Committee 10 February 2023

Cabinet
21 February 2023
Council
8 March 2023
Title of report: Treasury Management Strategy and Investment Strategy 2023/24

## Purpose of report

Under the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management (2021) and accompanying Prudential Code 2021 the Council must present a Treasury Management Strategy at the start of each financial year. Alongside the Treasury Management Strategy, the Annual Investment Strategy must also be approved by Council.

| Key Decision - Is it likely to result <br> in spending or saving £250k or <br> more, or to have a significant <br> effect on two or more electoral <br> wards? | Yes (for Cabinet) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Key Decision - Is it in the <br> Council's Forward Plan (key | Key Decision: Yes |
| decisions and private reports?) | Private Report/Private Appendix: <br> N/A |
| The Decision - Is it eligible for call <br> in by Scrutiny? | No |
| Date signed off by Strategic <br> Director and name | N/A |
| Is it also signed off by Service <br> Director - Finance | Eamonn Croston - 30 January 2023 |
| Is it also signed off by the Service <br> Director Legal, Governance and <br> Commissioning | Julie Muscroft - 30 January 2023 |
| Cabinet member portfolio | Corporate <br> Cllr Paul Davies | | Electoral wards affected: |
| :--- |
| Ward councillors consulted: <br> Public or Private: <br> GDPR: This report contains no information that falls within the scope of General Data <br> Protection Regulations. <br> P/Ablic |

## 1 Summary

1.1 Treasury risk management at the Council is conducted within the framework of the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice 2021 Edition (the CIPFA Code) which requires the Council to approve a treasury management strategy before the start of each financial year. This report fulfils the Council's legal obligation under the Local Government Act 2003 to have regard to the CIPFA Code. Investments held for service purposes or for commercial profit are considered in a different report, the Investment Strategy. This is attached at Appendix E.
1.2 Cabinet is responsible for the implementation and monitoring of the treasury management policies. The Corporate Governance and Audit Committee undertake a scrutiny role with regard to treasury management. The last training for members of this Committee was provided in January 2023 by the Council's treasury management advisors/consultants, Arlingclose.
1.3 This report will:
(i) outline the outlook for interest rates and credit risk, and in light of this, recommend an investment strategy (Treasury Management Investments) for the Council to follow in 2023/24;
(ii) outline the current and estimated future levels of Council borrowing and recommend a borrowing strategy for 2023/24;
(iii) review the methodologies adopted for providing for the repayment of debt and recommend a policy for calculating the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP);
(iv) review other treasury management matters including the policy on the use of financial derivatives, prudential indicators, the use of consultants, and the policy on charging interest to the Housing Revenue Account;
(v) recommend an annual Investment Strategy (Non-Treasury Investments) for the Council in 2023/24

## 2 Information required to take a decision

The following paragraphs 2.1 to 2.5 have been provided by our Treasury Management external advisors, Arlingclose:

## Economic Background

2.1 The ongoing impact on the UK from the war in Ukraine, together with higher inflation, higher interest rates, uncertain government policy, and a deteriorating economic outlook, will be major influences on the Council's treasury management strategy for 2023/24.
2.2 The Bank of England (BoE) increased Bank Rate by $0.5 \%$ to $3.5 \%$ in December 2022. This followed a $0.75 \%$ rise in November which was the largest single rate
hike since 1989 and the ninth successive rise since December 2021. The December decision was voted for by a 6-3 majority of the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC), with two dissenters voting for a no-change at $3.0 \%$ and one for a larger rise of $0.75 \%$. The November quarterly Monetary Policy Report (MPR) forecast a prolonged but shallow recession in the UK with CPI inflation remaining elevated at over $10.0 \%$ in the near-term. While the projected peak of inflation is lower than in the August report, due in part to the government's support package for household energy costs, inflation is expected remain higher for longer over the forecast horizon and the economic outlook remains weak, with unemployment projected to start rising.
2.3 CPI inflation is expected to have peaked at around $11.0 \%$ in the last calendar quarter of 2022 and then fall sharply to $1.4 \%$, below the $2.0 \%$ target, in two years' time and to $0.0 \%$ in three years' time if Bank Rate follows the path implied by financial markets at the time of the November MPR (a peak of $5.25 \%$ ). However, the BoE stated it considered this path to be too high, suggesting that the peak in interest rates will be lower, reducing the risk of inflation falling too far below target. Market rates have fallen since the time of the November MPR. The labour market remains tight for now, with the most recent statistics showing the unemployment rate was $3.7 \%$. Earnings were up strongly in nominal terms by $6.1 \%$ for both total pay and for regular pay but factoring in inflation means real pay for both measures was -2.7\%. Looking forward, the November MPR shows the labour market weakening in response to the deteriorating outlook for growth, leading to the unemployment rate rising to around 6.5\% in 2025.
2.4 The UK economy contracted by $0.3 \%$ between July and September 2022 according to the Office for National Statistics, and the BoE forecasts Gross Domestic Product (GDP) will decline $0.75 \%$ in the second half of the calendar year due to the squeeze on household income from higher energy costs and goods prices. Growth is then expected to continue to fall throughout 2023 and the first half of 2024.

## Interest Rate Forecast

2.5 The Council's treasury management adviser Arlingclose forecasts that Bank Rate will continue to rise in 2023 as the Bank of England attempts to subdue inflation which is significantly above its $2.0 \%$ target. While interest rate expectations reduced during October and November 2022, multiple interest rate rises are still expected over the forecast horizon despite looming recession. Arlingclose expects Bank Rate to rise to $4.25 \%$ by June 2023 under its central case, with the risks in the near and medium-term to the upside should inflation not evolve as the Bank forecasts and remains persistently higher. Yields are expected to remain broadly at current levels over the medium-term, with 5, 10 and 20 year gilt yields expected to average around $3.5 \%, 3.5 \%$, and $3.85 \%$ respectively over the 3 year period to December 2025. The risks for short, medium and longer-term yields are judged to be broadly balanced over the forecast horizon. As ever, there will undoubtedly be short-term volatility due to economic and political uncertainty and events.

## Borrowing and Investment - General Strategy for 2023/24

2.6 The Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) represents the Council's underlying need to finance capital expenditure by borrowing or other long-term liability arrangements. A Council can choose to borrow externally to fund its CFR. If it does this, it is likely that it would be investing externally an amount equivalent to its total reserves, balances, and net creditors. Alternatively, a Council can choose not to invest externally but instead use these balances to effectively "borrow internally" and minimise external borrowing. In between these two extremes, a Council may have a mixture of external and internal investments, and external and internal borrowing.

Table 1 below sets out the forecast CFR position for the Council as at 31 March 2023 and estimated CFR and borrowing requirements over the following 5 years. CIPFA's Prudential Code recommends that the Council's total debt should be lower than its highest forecast CFR. Table 1 shows that the Council expects to comply with this recommendation.

Table 1: Balance Sheet Summary and Forecast

|  | $\begin{gathered} 31.03 .22 \\ \text { Actual } \\ \mathrm{£m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.03 .23 \\ \text { Forecast } \\ \mathrm{£m} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.03 .24 \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { £m } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.03 .25 \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { £m } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.03 .26 \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { £m } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.03 .27 \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { £m } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.03 .28 \\ \text { Estimate } \\ £ \mathrm{~m} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Financing Requirement | 806.7 | 871.5 | 923.3 | 965.6 | 1,004.2 | 1,012.8 | 1,036.3 |
| Less: PFI liabilities* | 84.6 | 78.2 | 74.2 | 69.3 | 64.1 | 58.8 | 52.3 |
| Less: Other deferred liabilities* | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Loans CFR | 718.5 | 789.7 | 845.5 | 892.8 | 936.6 | 950.5 | 980.5 |
| Less: External borrowing** | 468.9 | 542.5 | 476.4 | 445.3 | 414.5 | 409.0 | 403.5 |
| Internal (over) borrowing | 249.6 | 247.2 | 369.1 | 447.5 | 522.1 | 541.5 | 577.0 |
| Less: Balance sheet resources | 328.5 | 234.0 | 215.2 | 217.6 | 215.9 | 209.9 | 209.9 |
| New borrowing (Treasury investments) | -78.9 | 13.2 | 153.9 | 229.9 | 306.2 | 331.6 | 367.1 |

* Leases and PFI debt liabilities that form part of the Council's total debt.
${ }^{* *}$ Shows only loans to which the Council is committed and excludes optional refinancing.
2.7 There is a marked increase in the CFR due to increases in the capital programme, in particular the proposed Cultural Heart and town centre regeneration programme as part of the Huddersfield Blueprint. The Council will be required to borrow up to $£ 190.8$ million to fund the increase in the CFR over the 5 year period. The external borrowing necessary will be a mixture of long and short-term borrowing.
2.8 As Council usable reserves are forecast to reduce over the 5 years in line with planned commitments, the internal borrowing will also reduce resulting in further external borrowing. The Council is estimated to borrow a total of $£ 367.1$ million to fund the CFR along with reduction in reserves, as shown in the table above.
2.9 The relative mix of future internal and external borrowing will be considered in conjunction with advice from the Council's external treasury management advisor, noting that provision has been made in the updated Council budget plan revenue resource assumptions to accommodate a continued future mix of internal and external borrowing.
2.10 The Service Director - Finance, supports the approach that the borrowing and investment strategy for 2023/24 continues to place emphasis on the security of the Council's balances. The credit ratings for many UK institutions were downgraded on the back of downgrades to the sovereign rating. Although credit conditions generally on banks and building societies have tended to be relatively benign despite the impact of the pandemic, the global economy is fragile. Looking forward credit will remain a risk suggesting the Council needs to take a cautious approach to bank deposits in 2023/24.
2.11 It is recommended that balances should continue to be invested to a level which is perceived to be reasonably secure and which is needed to meet the day-to-day cash flow requirements of the Council (around £20.0 million).
2.12 In order to increase investment returns, the Council has invested £10.0 million in the Local Authorities Pooled Investment Fund (LAPF) as per the approved Council 2019/20 Treasury Management Strategy. The Council will not make any further investment in the property fund or similar investments.
2.13 Average current Council cashflow balances remain consistent at about £30.0 million (including the LAPF), with the investment in the LAPF leaving about £20.0 million for day-to-day cashflow requirement as noted above.


## Liability Benchmark

2.14 To compare the Council's actual borrowing against an alternative strategy, a liability benchmark has been calculated showing the lowest risk level of borrowing. This assumes the same forecasts as Table 1 above, but that cash and investment balances are kept to a minimum level of $£ 30.0$ million at each year-end to maintain sufficient liquidity but minimise credit risk.
2.15 The liability benchmark is an important tool to help establish whether the Council is likely to be a long-term borrower or long-term investor in the future, and so shape its strategic focus and decision making. The liability benchmark itself represents an estimate of the cumulative amount of external borrowing the Council must hold to fund its current capital and revenue plans while keeping treasury investments at the minimum level required to manage day-to-day cash flow.

## Table 2: Prudential Indicator: Liability Benchmark

|  | 31.03.22 Actual £m | $\begin{gathered} 31.03 .23 \\ \text { Estimate } \\ \text { £m } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.03 .24 \\ \text { Forecast } \\ \text { £m } \end{gathered}$ | 31.03.25 Forecast £m | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.03 .26 \\ \text { Forecast } \\ \text { £m } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.03 .27 \\ \text { Forecast } \\ \text { £m } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 31.03 .28 \\ \text { Forecast } \\ \text { £m } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans CFR | 718.5 | 789.7 | 845.5 | 892.8 | 936.6 | 950.5 | 980.5 |
| Less: Balance sheet resources | 328.5 | 234.0 | 215.2 | 217.6 | 215.9 | 209.9 | 209.9 |
| Net loans requirement | 390.0 | 555.7 | 630.3 | 675.2 | 720.7 | 740.6 | 770.6 |
| Plus: Liquidity allowance | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Liability benchmark | 420.0 | 585.7 | 660.3 | 705.2 | 750.7 | 770.6 | 800.6 |

2.16 The total liability benchmark is shown in the chart below together with the maturity profile of the Council's existing borrowing. The red line is the liability benchmark reaching a peak in 2032 highlighting the gap between current borrowing identified in grey, which is reducing over time with repayments, and the additional borrowing required to fund the capital plan.

## Liability Benchmark - Kirklees MBC



[^0]
## Borrowing Strategy

2.17 The Council is forecast to hold around $£ 633.9$ million of external borrowing and other long-term liabilities as at 31 March 2023, an increase of $£ 76.8$ million on the previous year, as part of its strategy for funding previous years' capital programmes. This is analysed at Table 3 below:

Table 3 - year end estimate - 31 March 2023

|  | £m | $\%$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HM Treasury's PWLB lending facility loans (fixed rate) | 329.0 | 52 |  |  |  |
| LOBOs | 61.4 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Loan stock (fixed rate) | 7.0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Other long term loans (fixed rate) | 44.3 | 7 |  |  |  |
| Medium term loans (fixed rate) | 40.0 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Temporary borrowing | 74.0 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Total external borrowing | 78.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Other Long Term Liabilities (mainly PFI) | $\mathbf{6 3 3 . 2}$ | 12 |  |  |  |
| Total external debt liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |

2.18 The approved sources of borrowing are:

- HM Treasury's PWLB lending facility
- Any bank or building society authorised to operate in the UK
- Any other UK public sector body
- Capital market bond investors
- UK Municipal Bonds Agency plc and other special purpose companies created to enable local authority bond issues
- UK public and private sector pension funds
2.19 The Council has previously raised the majority of its long-term borrowing from the PWLB but will consider long-term loans from other sources including banks, pensions and local authorities, in order to lower interest costs and reduce overreliance on one source of funding in line with the CIPFA Code. PWLB loans are no longer available to local authorities planning to buy investment assets primarily for yield. The Council intends to avoid this activity in order to retain its access to PWLB loans.
2.20 The Council currently holds LOBO (Lender's Option, Borrower's Option) Ioans, where the lender has the option to propose an increase in the interest rate at set dates, following which the Council has the option to either accept the new rate or to repay the loan at no additional cost. With interest rates having risen recently, there is now a reasonable chance that lenders will exercise their options. If they do, the Council will take the option to repay loans to reduce refinancing risk in later years. Total borrowing via LOBO loans is currently $10 \%$ of debt.
2.21 The Council's strategic approach over the last few years has been to gradually replace some of the short-term borrowing with long-term borrowing to ensure a more balanced risk approach. Over the past year, the Council has taken on £20.0 million long term PWLB borrowing. However, with short term interest rates
currently much lower than long term rates, it is likely to be more cost effective in the short term to use internal borrowing and borrow short term loans instead.
2.22 The benefits of short-term borrowing will be monitored regularly against the potential for incurring additional costs by deferring borrowing into future years when long-term borrowing rates are forecast to rise modestly. Arlingclose will assist the Council with this 'cost of carry' and breakeven analysis. Its output may determine whether the Council borrows additional sums at long-term fixed rates in 2023/24 with a view to keeping future interest costs low, even if this causes additional cost in the short-term.
2.23 One alternative source of funding to the PWLB is the Municipal Bonds Agency established in 2014 by the Local Government Association. It issues bonds on the capital markets and lends the proceeds to local authorities. This will be a more complicated source of finance than the PWLB for two reasons: borrowing authorities may be required to provide bond investors with a guarantee to refund their investment in the event that the agency is unable to for any reason; and there will be a lead time of several months between committing to borrow and knowing the interest rate payable.
2.24 The Council may arrange forward starting loans, with alternative lenders as these are not available through the PWLB, where the interest rate is fixed in advance but the cash is received in later years. This would enable certainty of cost to be achieved without suffering a cost of carry in the intervening period.
2.25 The PWLB allows authorities to repay loans before maturity and either pay a premium or receive a discount according to a set formula based on current interest rates. The Council may take advantage of this and replace some loans with new loans, or repay loans without replacement, where this is expected to lead to an overall cost saving or a reduction in risk. The recent rise in interest rates means that more favourable debt rescheduling opportunities should arise than in previous years.
2.26 Borrowing policy and performance are monitored throughout the year and are reported to Members via a Half Yearly Report and also an Outturn Report in line with approved guidance.


## Treasury Investment Strategy

2.27 The CIPFA Code requires the Council to invest its treasury funds prudently, and to have regard to the security and liquidity of its investments before seeking a higher rate of return. The Council's objective when investing money is to strike an appropriate balance between risk and return. The Council aims to be a responsible investor and will consider environmental, social and governance (ESG) when investing.
2.28 As demonstrated by the liability benchmark above, the Council expects to be a long-term borrower and new treasury investments will therefore be made primarily to manage day to day cashflows using short-term low risk instruments. The existing portfolio of strategic pooled funds will be maintained to diversify risk into different sectors and boost investment income.
2.29 Environmental, social and governance (ESG) considerations are increasingly a factor in global investors' decision making, but the framework for evaluating investment opportunities is still developing and therefore the Council's ESG policy does not currently include ESG scoring or other real-time ESG criteria at an individual investment level. When investing in banks and funds, the Council will prioritise banks that are signatories to the UN Principles for Responsible Banking and funds operated by managers that are signatories to the UN Principles for Responsible Investment, the Net Zero Asset Managers Alliance and/or the UK Stewardship Code.
2.30 Regarding Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID II), the Council has opted up to professional client status with its providers of financial services, allowing it access to a greater range of services but with the greater regulatory protections afforded to individuals and companies. Given the size and range of the Council's treasury management activities, the Service Director - Finance believes this to continue to be the most appropriate status.
2.31 The Council's investment criteria are detailed in Appendix A, maintaining a low risk strategy giving priority to security and liquidity, and as such invest an average of around $£ 20.0$ million externally in short-term liquid investments through the money markets. Any remaining balances, net of investment in the local authority property fund, will be used internally, offsetting borrowing requirements.
2.32 The Council uses credit ratings from the three main rating agencies - Fitch, Moody's and Standard \& Poor's to assess the risk of investment defaults (Appendix B). The lowest credit rating of an organisation will be used to help determine credit quality. Long term ratings are expressed on a scale from AAA (the highest quality) through to $D$ (indicating default). Ratings of BBB- and above are described as investment grade, while ratings of $\mathrm{BB}+$ and below are described as speculative grade.
2.33 Where an entity has its credit rating downgraded so that it fails to meet the approved investment criteria then:

- No new investments will be made,
- Any existing investments that can be recalled or sold at no cost will be, and
- Full consideration will be given to the recall or sale of all other existing investments with the affected counterparty.

Where a credit rating agency announces that a rating is on review for possible downgrade ("negative watch") so that it is likely to fall below the required criteria, then only investments that can be withdrawn (on the next working day) will be made with that organisation until the outcome of the review is announced. This policy will not apply to negative outlooks, which indicate a long-term direction of travel rather than an imminent change of rating.
2.34 Full regard will be given to other available information on the credit quality of banks and building societies, including credit default swap prices, financial statements and rating agency reports. No investments will be made with an organisation if there are substantive doubts about its credit quality, even though it may meet the approved criteria.
2.35 Annual cash flow forecasts are prepared which are continuously updated. Investment policy and performance will be monitored continuously and will be reported to Members during the year and as part of the annual report on Treasury Management.

## Statement of Policy on the Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP)

2.36 MRP is the statutory requirement for local authorities to set aside some of their revenue resources as provision for reducing the underlying need to borrow (Capital Financing Requirement - CFR), i.e the borrowing taken out in order to finance capital expenditure.
2.37 Prior to the Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) Regulations 2008, which came into force on 31 March 2008, the set aside was specified as a percentage of a Council's CFR (2\% for HRA debt, 4\% for General Fund). The current Regulations are less prescriptive with a requirement to ensure the amount set aside is deemed to be prudent, although there is accompanying current DLUHC guidance which sets out possible methods a Council might wish to follow.
2.38 Current DLUHC guidance recommends that Council's prepare a statement of policy on making MRP in respect of the forthcoming year, with approval by full Council before the start of the financial year. If these proposals subsequently need to be varied, a revised statement should be put to full Council. Appendix C details the Council's policy for the provision of MRP.
2.39 Existing budget plans approved the MRP unwind in later years be brought forward and increased to the maximum allowable level of $£ 13.6$ million in $2023 / 24$ at which point the unwind will be fully utilised.

## Policy on the Use of Financial Derivatives

2.40 Local authorities have previously made use of financial derivatives embedded into loans and investments both to reduce interest rate risk (e.g. interest rate collars and forward deals) and to reduce costs or increase income at the expense of greater risk (e.g. LOBO loans and callable deposits). The general power of competence in Section 1 of the Localism Act 2011 removes much of the uncertainty over Local Authorities' use of standalone financial derivatives.
2.41 The Council will only use standalone financial derivatives (such as swaps, forwards, futures and options) where they can be clearly demonstrated to reduce the overall level of financial risks that the Council is exposed.
2.42 Additional risks presented, such as credit exposure to derivative counterparties, will be taken into account when determining the overall level of risk. Embedded derivatives will not be subject to this policy, although the risks they present will be managed in line with the overall treasury risk management strategy.
2.43 In line with the CIPFA Code, the Council will seek external advice and will consider that advice before entering into financial derivatives to ensure that it fully understands the implications.

## Treasury Management Prudential Indicators

2.44 The Council is asked to approve certain treasury management prudential indicators, the purpose of which is to contain the activity of the treasury function within certain limits, thereby reducing the risk or likelihood of an adverse movement in interest rates or borrowing decision impacting negatively on the Council's overall financial position. However, if these are set to be too restrictive, they will impair the opportunities to reduce costs. The proposed indicators are set out in Appendix D.

## Other Matters

2.45 Full implementation of the updated CIPFA Prudential Code 2021 is to be adopted for strategies from 2023/24.
2.46 The new Code stipulates restrictions on borrowing primarily for financial return, including commercial property. The Council's current and proposed capital plans do not include any capital investment funded by borrowing primarily for commercial return, that may otherwise have restricted access to PWLB borrowing going forward. The new Code does not introduce restrictions on Council's borrowing for purposes essential to their core aims, such as for housing and regeneration projects, or for treasury management purposes.
2.47 The CIPFA Code also requires the Council to note the following matters each year as part of the treasury management strategy:

## (i) Investment Consultants

The Council's adviser is Arlingclose Limited. The services received include:

- Advice and guidance on relevant policies, strategies and reports;
- Advice on investment and debt management;
- Notification of credit ratings and other information on credit quality;
- Reports on treasury performance;
- Forecasts of interest rates and economic activity; and
- Training courses.

The quality of the service is monitored on a continuous basis by the Council's treasury management team.

## (ii) Investment Training

As part of the MiFID II requirements, the needs of the Council's treasury management staff for training in investment management are assessed on a continuous basis, and formally on a 6-monthly basis as part of the staff appraisal process. Additionally training requirements are assessed when the responsibilities of individual members of staff change. Staff attend training courses and seminars as appropriate.
(iii) Investment of money borrowed in advance of need

The Council may, from time to time, borrow in advance of need, where this is expected to provide the best long-term value for money. However, as this would involve externally investing such sums until required and thus increasing exposures to both interest rate and principal risks, it is not believed appropriate to undertake such a policy at this time.

## (iv) Policy on charging interest to the Housing Revenue Account (HRA)

Following the reform of housing finance, the Council is free to adopt its own policy on sharing interest costs and income between General Fund and the HRA. The CIPFA code recommends that authorities state their policy each year in the strategy report.

On 1 April 2012, the Council notionally split each of its existing long-term loans into General Fund and HRA pools. New long-term loans borrowed will be assigned in their entirety to one pool or the other. Differences between the value of the HRA loans pool and the HRA's underlying need to borrow (adjusted for HRA balance sheet resources available for investment) will result in a notional cash balance which may be positive or negative. Interest will be applied to this balance using the Council's average investment rate.

## 3 Implications for the Council

### 3.1 Working with People <br> N/A

### 3.2 Working with Partners <br> N/A

### 3.3 Placed based working N/A

### 3.4 Climate Change and Air Quality N/A

### 3.5 Improving Outcomes for Children N/A

### 3.6 Financial Implications for people living or working in Kirklees N/A

### 3.7 Other (e.g. Legal/Financial or Human Resources)

The revenue implications of the strategies outlined have been reflected in the Council's annual budget report 2023-28.
The Council must have regard to the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management; the Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (the Prudential Code) 2021 the Local Government Act 2003 and the Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) Regulations 2003 (as amended).
The Council has the power to borrow under section 1 of the Local Government Act 2003 and the Council has powers to invest under section 12 of the Local Government Act 2003.

## 4 Consultees and their opinions

Arlingclose, the treasury management advisors to the Council, have provided the economic context commentary contained in this report.

## 5 Next steps

Treasury management performance will be monitored and reported to members during the year.

Following consideration at Corporate Governance \& Audit Committee, this report will be presented to Cabinet on 21 February 2023 and then full Council on 8 March 2023 for approval.

## 6 Officer recommendations and reasons

That Corporate Governance \& Audit Committee recommend the following for consideration by Cabinet and then approval by full Council:
(i) the treasury management strategy incorporating: the borrowing strategy outlined in paragraphs 2.17 to 2.26;
(ii) the investment strategy (treasury management investments) outlined in paragraphs 2.27 to 2.35 and Appendices A and B;
(iii) the policy for provision of repayment of debt (Minimum Revenue Provision) outlined in paragraphs 2.36 to 2.39 and at Appendix C;
4 the treasury management prudential indicators in Appendix D and
5 the investment strategy (non-treasury investments) at Appendix E.
Reasons:

1. Section 151 of the Local Government Act 1972 requires the Council to make arrangements for the proper administration of its financial affairs.
2. The Council must have regard to the CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice on Treasury Management and the Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (the Prudential Code) 2021 and the Statutory guidance on Local government Investments (2018) when performing its duties under Part 1 of the Local Government Act 2003 and the Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) Regulations 2003 (as amended).

## 7 Cabinet Portfolio Holder recommendation

The report and recommendations be submitted to Cabinet on 21 February 2023 and Council on 8 March 2023.

## 8 Contact officers

| James Anderson | Head of Accountancy | 01484221000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rachel Firth | Finance Manager | 01484221000 |

## 9 Background Papers and History of Decisions

CIPFA's Code of Practice on Treasury Management in the Public Services; CIPFA's Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities; Guidance on Local Government Investments (MHCLG 2018); The Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) Regulations 2003 (Amended 2008); Localism Act 2011.

10 Service Director responsible
Eamonn Croston 01484221000

## APPENDIX A

## Investment Policy for 2023/24

## Investment Limits:

- The Council is able to invest an unlimited amount with the UK Government for up to 6 months.
- The Council is able to invest up to $£ 10.0$ million and up to three months with UK banks and building societies with a "high to upper medium grade" credit rating.
- The Council is able to invest up to $£ 10.0$ million and up to two months with foreign banks with a "high to upper medium grade" credit rating.
- The Council is able to invest up to $£ 10.0$ million and up to three months with individual local authorities.
- The Council is able to invest up to $£ 10.0$ million in individual MMFs (instant access or up to 2 day notice). There will be an overall limit of $£ 40.0$ million for MMFs (nongovernment funds), plus up to $£ 10.0$ million invested in a fund backed by government securities.
- The Council is able to invest up to $£ 10.0$ million in Local Authority Pooled Investment Funds.

The maximum limits apply to any one counter-party and to a banking group rather than each individual bank within a group.

## Note:

The limits set out above exclude any amounts held on the Council's behalf by the Yorkshire Purchasing Organisation (YPO). The YPO (a consortium in which the Council has an interest) invest funds as part of their treasury management processes. For the avoidance of doubt, this element does not form part of the limits set above. For context, the Council's proportion of YPO's maximum investment with any given counterparty is approximately $£ 155 \mathrm{k}$.

## Liquidity management:

The Council uses purpose-built cash flow forecasting models to determine the maximum period for which funds may prudently be committed. The forecast is compiled on a prudent basis to minimise the risk of the Council being forced to borrow on unfavourable terms to meet its financial commitments. Limits on long-term investments are set by reference to the Council's medium-term financial plan and cash flow forecast.

|  | Short-term Credit Ratings / Long-term Credit Ratings |  |  | Investment Limits per Counterparty |  | Counterparties falling into category as at Nov 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fitch | Moody's | S \& P | £m | Period (2) |  |  |
| UK Banks / Building | F1 | P-1 | A-1 | 10.0 | <3mth | HSBC | Bank of Scotland Handelsbanken Nationwide BS |
| (Deposit accounts, fixed term deposits and REPOs) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AAA,AA+,AA, } \\ & \text { AA-, A+,A, A- } \end{aligned}$ | Aaa,Aa1,Aa2, Aa3,A1,A2,A3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AAA,AA+,AA, } \\ \text { AA-,A+,A,A- } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Santander UK Barclays |  |
| Foreign Banks (Deposit accounts, fixed term deposits and REPOs) | F1 | P-1 | A-1 | 10.0 | <2mth | Various |  |
|  | $A A A, A A+, A A$, $A A-, A+, A, A-$ | Aaa,Aa1,Aa2, $\mathrm{A} 33, \mathrm{~A} 1, \mathrm{~A} 2, \mathrm{A3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{AAA}, \mathrm{AA}+, \mathrm{AA} \\ & \mathrm{AA}-, \mathrm{A}+, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~A}- \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMF (1) | - | - | - | 10.0 | Instant access/ up to 2 day notice | Aberdeen Deutsche Bank | Aviva Goldman Sachs |
| UK Government (Fixed term deposits) | - | - | - | Unlimited | <6mth |  |  |
| UK local authorities (Fixed term deposits) (2) | - | - | - | 10.0 | <3mth |  |  |
| Local Authority Pooled Investment Funds | - | - | - | 10.0 | >6mth |  |  |

(1) Overall limit for investments in MMFs of $£ 50.0$ million - the assets the funds invest in are securities and structures secured on government securities
(2) The investment period begins from the commitment to invest, rather than the date on which funds are paid over.

APPENDIX B

## Credit ratings

| Moody's |  | S\&P |  | Fitch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Longterm | Shortterm | Longterm | Shortterm | Longterm | Shortterm |  |
| Aaa | P-1 | AAA | A-1+ | AAA | F1+ | Prime |
| Aa1 |  | AA+ |  | $A A+$ |  |  |
| Aa2 |  | AA |  | AA |  | High grade |
| Aa3 |  | AA- |  | AA- |  |  |
| A1 |  | A+ | A-1 | $\mathrm{A}_{+}$ | F1 |  |
| A2 |  | A |  | A |  | Upper medium grade |
| A3 | P-2 | A- | A-2 | A- | F2 |  |
| Baa1 |  | BBB+ |  | BBB+ |  | Lower medium grade |
| Baa2 | P-3 | BBB | A-3 | BBB | F3 |  |
| Baa3 |  | BBB- |  | BBB- |  |  |
| Ba1 | Not prime | BB+ | B | BB+ | B | Non-investment |
| Ba2 |  | BB |  | BB |  | grade |
| Ba3 |  | BB- |  | BB- |  | speculative |
| B1 |  | B+ |  | B+ |  |  |
| B2 |  | B |  | B |  | Highly speculative |
| B3 |  | B- |  | B- |  |  |
| Caa1 |  | CCC+ | C | CCC | C | Substantial risks |
| Caa2 |  | CCC |  |  |  | Extremely speculative |
| Caa3 |  | CCC- |  |  |  |  |
| Ca |  | CC |  |  |  | In default with little prospect for recovery |
| C |  | D | 1 | DDD | 1 | In default |
| 1 |  |  |  | DD |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX C

## CURRENT MINIMUM REVENUE PROVISION POLICY

## 1. Background

1.1 The Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) Regulations 2008 requires authorities to make an amount of MRP which the authority considers "prudent".
1.2 The regulation does not itself define "prudent provision". However, guidance issued alongside the regulations makes recommendations on the interpretation of that term.

## 2 Policy for 2023/24 onwards

2.1 The Service Director - Finance recommends the following policy for making prudent provision for MRP:
(i) General Fund Borrowing (pre $1^{\text {st }}$ April 2008) - Provision to be made over the estimated average life of the asset (as at 1 April 2008) for which borrowing was taken - deemed to be 50 years (annuity calculation).
(ii) Calculations to compare this to the previous MRP charge indicated that between 2007/08 and 2015/16 the Council provided an additional £91.2 million with which it will "un-wind" over 7 years from 2017/18.
(iii) General Fund Prudential Borrowing - Provision to be made over the estimated life of the asset for which borrowing is undertaken. Provision to commence in the year following when the asset is operational. Where large loans are made to other bodies for their capital expenditure, no MRP will be charged. However, the capital receipts generated by the annual repayments on those loans will be put aside to repay debt instead.
(iv) HRA Borrowing - Provision to be made for debt repayments equal to its share of any scheduled external debt repayments.
(v) PFI schemes - Provision to equal the part of the unitary payment that writes down the balance sheet liability, together with amounts relating to lifecycle costs incurred in the year.

## APPENDIX D

## TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS

## Gross Debt and the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)

The Code requires that where gross debt is greater than the CFR, the reasons for this should be clearly stated in the annual strategy. This does not apply to this Council as its gross debt will not exceed the CFR over the forecast period (see the 'Gross Debt and the Capital Financing Requirement table within the Capital Strategy).

## Interest Rate Exposures

While fixed rate borrowing can contribute significantly to reducing the uncertainty surrounding future interest rate scenarios, the pursuit of optimum performance justifies retaining a degree of flexibility through the use of variable interest rates on at least part of the treasury management portfolio.

It is recommended that the Council sets an upper limit on its fixed interest rate exposures for $2023 / 24,2024 / 25$ and $2025 / 26$ of $£ 948.3$ million, $£ 990.6$ million, $£ 1,029.2$ million of its net principal. It is further recommended that the Council sets an upper limit on its variable interest rate exposures for 2023/24, 2024/25 and 2025/26 of £200 million of its net principal.

## Maturity Structure of Borrowing

This indicator is designed to prevent the Council having large concentrations of fixed rate debt* needing to be replaced at times of uncertainty over interest rates. It is recommended that the Council sets upper and lower limits for the maturity structure of its borrowings as follows:

| Amount of projected borrowing that is fixed rate maturing in each <br> period as percentage of total projected borrowing that is fixed rate |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Upper Limit (\%) | Lower Limit (\%) |
| Under 12 months | 20 | 0 |
| Between 1 and 2 years | 20 | 0 |
| Between 2 and 5 years | 60 | 0 |
| Between 5 and 10 years | 80 | 0 |
| More than 10 years | 100 | 20 |

*LOBOs are classed as fixed rate debt unless it is considered probable that the loan option will be exercised.

## Long-Term Treasury Management Investments

The purpose of this indicator is to control the Council's exposure to the risk of incurring losses by seeking early repayment of its investments. The prudential limits on the long-term treasury management investments will be:

| Price risk indicator | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 / 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 4 / 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5 / \mathbf { 2 6 }}$ | No Fixed <br> Date |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Limit on principal invested <br> beyond year end | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{£ m}$ | $\mathbf{\mathbf { m }}$ |

Long-term investments with no fixed maturity date is the LAPF.

## Appendix E

## Investment Strategy 2023/24

## Introduction

The Council invests its money for three broad purposes:

- because it has surplus cash as a result of its day-to-day activities, for example when income is received in advance of expenditure (known as treasury management investments),
- to support local public services by lending to or buying shares in other organisations (service investments), and
- to earn investment income (known as commercial investments where this is the main purpose).

This investment strategy meets the requirements of statutory guidance issued by the government in January 2018 and focuses on the second and third of these categories.

## Treasury Management Investments

The Council typically receives its income in cash (eg. from taxes and grants) before it pays for its expenditure in cash (eg. through payroll and invoices). It also holds reserves for future expenditure. These activities, plus the timing of borrowing decisions, lead to a cash surplus which is invested in accordance with guidance from the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy. The balance of treasury management investments is expected to average £30.0 million with fluctuations between £20.0 million and £50.0 million during the 2023/24 financial year.

Contribution: The contribution that these investments make to the objectives of the Council is to support effective treasury management activities.

Further details: Full details of the Council's policies and its plan for 2023/24 for treasury management investments are covered in the treasury management strategy report 2023/24 to which this Investment Strategy is appended.

## Service Investments: Loans

Contribution: The Council makes investments to assist local public services, including making loans to a variety of organisations, mainly local businesses, the local education college and local residents to support local public services and stimulate local economic growth.

The Council provided a significant loan to Kirklees College to help facilitate a new campus in Huddersfield and the delivery of a successful further education provision for post 16 students and adults across the district.

The Council has recently provided a loan to HD1 Living to renovate the building at 103 New Street to become modern student accommodation in the town centre as part of the Huddersfield regeneration plan.

Smaller loans have also been provided to local residents to be able to provide energy efficient heating within their own homes. The Council is part of the Leeds City Region Investment Fund where all local authorities contribute to the fund which provides individual loans to support infrastructure and construction projects which help deliver economic growth and job creation.

Existing capital plans provide for further development finance loans to support major town centre regeneration and economic growth, up to a Council approved $£ 10.3$ million (per the 5 year Capital Plan 2022/23 to 2026/27) through the Property Investment Fund. Amounts have been set aside in the capital plan for this type of investment.

The Council will continue to roll forward from last year's Investment Strategy, the option to provide financial loans to support $3^{\text {rd }}$ sector partners and anchor organisations, along with loans and/or match funding in support of community asset transfers. The Council would underwrite this provision from within the existing earmarked reserves.

Security: The main risk when making loans is that the borrower will be unable to repay the principal lent and/or the interest due. Investment Strategy guidance states that in order to limit this risk and ensure that total Council exposure to loans remains proportionate to the size of the Council, upper limits on the outstanding loans to each category of borrower have to be set and approved annually by Council. The proposed upper limits for Council loans are set out at Table 1 below:

Table 1: Loans for service purposes in $£$ millions

| Category of borrower | $\mathbf{3 1 . 0 3 . 2 0 2 2 ~ a c t u a l ~}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 / 2 4}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Balance <br> owing | Loss <br> allowance | Net figure <br> in <br> accounts | Approved <br> Limit |
| Further education college | 13.8 | -0.7 | 13.1 | $\mathbf{1 3 . 1}$ |
| Leeds City Region <br> revolving investment fund | 3.1 | 0.0 | 3.1 | $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ |
| Local businesses and <br> charities | $14.2^{*}$ | 0.0 | $14.2^{*}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 7}$ |
| Local residents | 2.2 | -0.1 | 2.1 | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 3 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2}$ |

* This is made up of numerous investments, the largest of which is $£ 9.9$ million towards 103 New Street.

Accounting standards require the Council to set aside a loss allowance for loans, reflecting the likelihood of non-payment. The figures for loans in the Council's statement of accounts are shown net of this loss allowance. However, the Council makes every reasonable effort to collect the full sum lent and has appropriate credit control arrangements in place to recover overdue repayments.

Risk assessment: The Council assesses the risk of loss before entering into and whilst holding loans. This will include the nature of the market/sector to which the loan relates, and loan security against business/sector assets. The single largest current loan relates to Kirklees College which is a public sector entity and considered to be a viable going concern. The strength of the Council's partnership with key anchor organisations in the district like the College, and ability to influence, support and monitor the College's ongoing financial position, are also key factors, including Council senior finance representation on the College's finance committee.

Development finance loans such as Property Investment Fund (PIF) will allow the Council to offer loans to development projects which offer significant economic benefits to the Council and the wider Kirklees district.

Any funding offers made will be on the basis that the loan repayments made by the recipient will cover the Council's financing costs and allow for an appropriate margin on cost of funds reflecting the level of risk involved and consistent with State Aid principles. All funding offers made will be subject to appropriate due diligence, including external specialist advice where appropriate, availability of credit ratings in respect of any potential loanee where appropriate, and loan security arrangements. Each individual loan offer will be the subject of a further Cabinet report.

It would not be the intention for the Council to directly compete with existing providers of investment funding. The Council would only look to invest, at its discretion, when there was a clear and demonstrable added value case to be made in terms of local economic benefits for development finance involvement. In many instances the Council investment would be short term to cover the construction phase of development which is the most critical period for schemes to locate finance that is timely and on reasonable terms.

Once out of the development phase there is sufficient liquidity at an appropriate risk margin in the existing investment markets for schemes to be refinanced at which point the Council investment would be repaid. Any investment from the PIF would be on terms that allowed the Council to fully cover its costs, including the costs of borrowing to fund any advance, and creation of an appropriate risk contingency.

## Service Investments: Shares

Contribution: The Council invests in the shares of local businesses to support local public services and stimulate local economic growth. The main share investment ( $£ 1.0$ million) is a $9.9 \%$ holding in Kirklees School Services Ltd which operates 20 schools on our behalf on a 32 year contract under PFI. The Council also has a 40\% shareholding in Kirklees Stadium Development Ltd (£0.1 million), a 14\% holding in QED KMC Holdings Ltd (£0.2 million) and a 50\% shareholding in Kirklees Henry Boot Partnership Ltd (£0.1 million).
Security: One of the risks of investing in shares is that they fall in value meaning that the initial outlay may not be recovered. In order to limit this risk, upper limits on the sum invested in each category of shares have been set as follows:

Table 2: Shares held for service purposes in $£$ millions

| Category of company | 31.03 .2022 actual |  |  | $2023 / 24$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Amounts <br> invested | Gains or <br> losses $(-)$ | Value in <br> accounts | Approved <br> Limit |
| Local businesses | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |

Risk assessment: The Council entered into these shareholdings for the purposes of participating in the governance and control of organisations that it considered to be important for the purposes of securing economic benefits to the borough. The Council is also the sole client in respect of one of these investments. The Council assessed the risk of participation taking account of the financial and public benefits, including the opportunity to make a potential gain in the event of the business being successful, although this was not the core purpose for initial participation. The Council assesses the risk of loss before entering into and whilst holding shares by continued oversight
and involvement in the strategic and operational aspects of the business, and participation in decision making, although the financial risk of the investment is perhaps lower than the operational and or reputational impacts of any failure by the companies in which the Council holds share based investments.

Liquidity: The Council has entered into these shareholdings for the purposes of delivery of its public service and community leadership obligations and the investments are considered to be long term. Viability of the investments in the long term is an important part of the strategy, but as the Councils share ownership and participation is strategic rather than financial the daily or periodic value is of less concern than the overall long-term health of the organisation in which the investment is held.

Non-specified Investments: Shares are the only investment type that the Council has identified that meets the definition of a non-specified investment in the government guidance. The limits above on share investments are therefore also the Council's upper limits on non-specified investments. The Council has not adopted any procedures for determining further categories of non-specified investment since none are likely to meet the definition.

## Commercial Investments: Property

Contribution: The Council invests in local commercial property such as retail town centre shops and buildings with the intention of making a profit that will be spent on local public services.

These assets fall under the definition of Investment Properties in the CIPFA Accounting Code and are valued at fair value in the accounts in accordance with IFRS13. Fair value is when an asset is valued at its highest and best use.

Table 3: Property held for investment purposes in $£$ millions

| Property type | Actual | 31.03 .2022 actual |  | 31.03 .2023 expected |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Purchase <br> cost | Gains or <br> losses (-) | Value in <br> accounts | Gains or <br> losses (-) | Value in <br> accounts |
| Commercial <br> Property | *See <br> below | -2.7 | 19.3 | 0.0 | 19.3 |

*The purchase cost cannot be ascertained as the majority of these assets have been owned by Kirklees for many years and purchased by Huddersfield Corporation during the 1920's from Ramsdens Estate. There is a signed legal document and a 'book of acquisition' which is a hardbacked ledger held in legal services.

Security: In accordance with government guidance, the Council considers a property investment to be secure if its accounting valuation is at or higher than its purchase cost including taxes and transaction costs.

A fair value assessment of the Council's investment property portfolio has been made within the past twelve months, and the underlying assets provide security for capital investment. Should the 2022/23 year end accounts preparation and audit process value these properties below their purchase cost, then an updated investment strategy will be presented to full Council detailing the impact of the loss on the security of investments and any revenue consequences arising therefrom.

At this stage, the George Hotel is considered a regeneration project and not counted as an investment, however once redevelopment work has been completed this will be re-assessed.

Risk assessment: The Council's current commercial asset portfolio held for investment purposes is largely a historical portfolio. It is monitored and reviewed annually as part of the Council's wider asset strategy including potential future appreciation and potential receipt value.

It is not the Council's intention to invest in any new commercial portfolio investments at this time. If any new investments are identified a risk assessment would be performed.

Liquidity: Compared with other investment types, property is relatively difficult to sell and convert to cash at short notice and can take a considerable period to sell in certain market conditions. To ensure that the invested funds can be accessed when they are needed, for example to repay capital borrowed, the Council will ensure it has adequate though not excessive cash resources, borrowing arrangements, overdraft or standby facilities to enable it at all times to have the level of funds available to which are necessary for the achievement of its business/service objectives. Cash flow projections are prepared on a regular and timely basis.

## Loan Commitments and Financial Guarantees

Although not strictly counted as investments, since no money has exchanged hands yet, loan commitments and financial guarantees carry similar risks to the Council and are included here for completeness. The Council does not have any loan commitments, however there are some guarantees that the Council holds including a guarantee on outstanding contributions to the Pension Fund in the event of a default by certain bodies and a guarantee to the Homes \& Communities Agency (HCA) in the event of a default by Kirklees Community Association (KCA) on the redevelopment of the Fieldhead Estate. The Council also act as a guarantor to a loan of £0.9 million that KSDL hold in the event of default.

## Capacity, Skills and Culture

The Council employs professionally qualified and experienced staff in senior positions with responsibility for making capital expenditure, borrowing and investment decisions. For example, the Service Director - Finance is a qualified accountant with extensive local government experience, the Strategic Director - Growth and Regeneration has experience of major Council regeneration schemes and partnerships with major business and third-party partners, as do key Service Directors. The Council pays for staff to study towards relevant professional qualifications including CIPFA and AAT.

Where Council staff do not have the knowledge and skills required, use is made of external advisers and consultants that are specialists in their field. The Council currently employs Arlingclose Limited as treasury management advisers. This approach is more cost effective than employing such staff directly and ensures that the Council has access to knowledge and skills commensurate with its risk appetite.

Cabinet is responsible for the implementation and monitoring of any investment policy. The Corporate Governance and Audit Committee undertake a scrutiny role with regard to investment. Regular training for members of the Committee is provided by our treasury advisors to enable them to make decisions to ensure accountability and responsibility on investment decisions within the context of the Council's corporate values. Any new investment decisions are also approved at full Council.

## Investment Indicators

The Council has set the following quantitative indicators to allow elected members and the public to assess the Council's total risk exposure as a result of its investment decisions.

Total risk exposure: The first indicator shows the Council's total exposure to potential investment losses. This includes amounts the Council is contractually committed to lend but have yet to be drawn down and guarantees the Council has issued over third-party loans.

Table 4: Total investment exposure in $£$ millions

| Total investment exposure | $\mathbf{3 1 . 0 3 . 2 0 2 2}$ <br> Actual | $\mathbf{3 1 . 0 3 . 2 0 2 3}$ <br> Forecast | $\mathbf{3 1 . 0 3 . 2 0 2 4}$ <br> Forecast |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Treasury management investments | 68.9 | 30.0 | $\mathbf{3 0 . 0}$ |
| Service investments: Loans | 32.5 | 35.7 | $\mathbf{4 1 . 9}$ |
| Service investments: Shares | 1.4 | 1.4 | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ |
| Commercial investments: Property | 19.3 | 19.3 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 3}$ |
| TOTAL INVESTMENTS | $\mathbf{1 2 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 6}$ |
| Commitments to lend | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |
| Guarantees issued on loans | 0.9 | 0.9 | $\mathbf{0 . 9}$ |
| TOTAL EXPOSURE | $\mathbf{1 2 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 . 5}$ |

How investments are funded: Government guidance is that these indicators should include how investments are funded. Since the Council does not normally associate particular assets with particular liabilities, this guidance is difficult to comply with. However, the following investments could be described as being funded by borrowing. The remainder of the Council's investments are funded by usable reserves and income received in advance of expenditure.

Table 5: Investments funded by borrowing in £ millions

| Investments funded by borrowing | $\mathbf{3 1 . 0 3 . 2 0 2 2}$ <br> Actual | $\mathbf{3 1 . 0 3 . 2 0 2 3}$ <br> Forecast | $\mathbf{3 1 . 0 3 . 2 0 2 4}$ <br> Forecast |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Service investments: Loans | 16.5 | 19.0 | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0}$ |

Rate of return received: This indicator shows the investment income received less the associated costs, including the cost of borrowing where appropriate, as a proportion of the sum initially invested. Note that due to the complex local government accounting framework, not all recorded gains and losses affect the revenue account in the year they are incurred.

Table 6: Investment rate of return (net of all costs)

| Investments net rate of return | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 / 2 2}$ <br> Actual | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2 / 2 3}$ <br> Forecast | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3 / 2 4}$ <br> Forecast |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Treasury management investments | $1.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 \%}$ |
| Service investments: Loans | $0.1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 \%}$ |
| Service investments: Shares | None | None | None |
| Commercial investments: Property | $-6.8 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 . 0 \%}$ |


[^0]:    Fixed rate loans $\qquad$ Variable rate loans
    _Loans CFR $\qquad$ Liability benchmark --- Net loans requirement

